UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	
)	Cause Number: 2:08 CR 136
MARIONK SPEARS)	

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Dated: June 30, 2010

s/ Philip P. Simon PHILIP P. SIMON, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Members of the jury, you have seen and heard all the evidence and the arguments of the attorneys. Now I will instruct you on the law.

You have two duties as a jury. Your first duty is to decide the facts from the evidence in the case. This is your job, and yours alone.

Your second duty is to apply the law that I give you to the facts. You must follow these instructions, even if you disagree with them. Each of the instructions is important, and you must follow all of them.

Perform these duties fairly and impartially. Do not allow sympathy, prejudice, fear, or public opinion to influence you. You should not be influenced by any person's race, color, religion, national ancestry, or sex.

Nothing I say now, and nothing I said or did during the trial, is meant to indicate any opinion on my part about what the facts are or about what your verdict should be.

The evidence consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits admitted in evidence, and stipulations. A stipulation is an agreement between both sides that certain facts are true or that a person would have given certain testimony.

You are to decide whether the testimony of each of the witnesses is truthful and accurate, in part, in whole, or not at all, as well as what weight, if any, you give to the testimony of each witness. In evaluating the testimony of any witness, you may consider, among other things:

- the witness's age;
- the witness's intelligence;
- the ability and opportunity the witness had to see, hear, or know the things that the witness testified about;
 - the witness's memory;
 - any interest, bias, or prejudice the witness may have;
 - the manner of the witness while testifying; and
 - the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence in the case.

You should use common sense in weighing the evidence and consider the evidence in light of your own observations in life.

In our lives, we often look at one fact and conclude from it that another fact exists. In law we call this "inference." A jury is allowed to make reasonable inferences. Any inferences you make must be reasonable and must be based on the evidence in the case.

Some of you have heard the phrases "circumstantial evidence" and "direct evidence." Direct evidence is the testimony of someone who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a series of facts which tend to show whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. You should decide how much weight to give to any evidence. All the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in reaching your verdict.

Certain things are not evidence. I will list them for you:

First, testimony that I struck from the record, or that I told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.

Second, anything that you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be entirely disregarded. This includes any press, radio, or television reports you may have seen or heard. Such reports are not evidence and your verdict must not be influenced in any way by such publicity.

Third, questions and objections by the lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to object when they believe a question is improper. You should not be influenced by any objection or by my ruling on it.

Fourth, the lawyers' statements to you are not evidence. The purpose of these statements is to discuss the issues and the evidence. If the evidence as you remember it differs from what the lawyers said, your memory is what counts.

The Second Superseding Indictment in this case is the formal method of accusing the defendant of an offense and placing the defendant on trial. It is not evidence against the defendant and does not create any inference of guilt.

The defendant is charged in the Second Superseding Indictment with three counts: (1)

Count 1 charges defendant with possessing marijuana plants with the intent to distribute marijuana; (2) Count 2 charges defendant with possessing a firearm after having been convicted of a crime punishable by more than one year of imprisonment; and (3) Count 4 charges defendant with maintaining a place for the purpose of manufacturing or distributing marijuana.

Count 3 has been voluntarily dismissed and you need not consider it.

The defendant has pleaded not guilty to the charges.

The defendant is presumed to be innocent of each of the charges. This presumption continues during every stage of the trial and your deliberations on the verdict. It is not overcome unless from all the evidence in the case you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty as charged. The government has the burden of proving the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden of proof stays with the government throughout the case. The defendant is never required to prove his innocence or to produce any evidence at all.

The defendant has an absolute right not to testify. The fact that the defendant did not testify should not be considered by you in any way in arriving at your verdict.

You have received evidence of statements said to be made by the defendant to FBI Agent Rob Hall. You must decide whether the defendant did in fact make the statements. If you find that the defendant did make the statements, then you must decide what weight, if any, you feel the statements deserve. In making this decision, you should consider all matters in evidence having to do with the statements, including those concerning the defendant himself and the circumstances under which the statements were made.

You have heard witnesses give opinions about matters requiring special knowledge or skill. You should judge this testimony in the same way that you judge the testimony of any other witness. The fact that such a person has given an opinion does not mean that you are required to accept it. Give the testimony whatever weight you think it deserves, considering the reasons given for the opinion, the witness' qualifications, and all of the other evidence in the case.

The Second Superseding Indictment charges the defendant with violating Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), which states, in part, as follows:

- [I]t shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally . . .
- (1) to . . . possess with intent to . . . distribute [marijuana].

To sustain the charge in Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment of possessing marijuana plants, on or about August 6, 2008, with the intent to distribute marijuana, the government must prove the following propositions:

First, the defendant knowingly or intentionally possessed marijuana; and Second, the defendant possessed the marijuana with the intent to deliver it to another person.

It does not matter whether the defendant knew the substance was marijuana. It is sufficient that the defendant knew that it was some kind of prohibited drug.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that each of these propositions has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant guilty of this charge.

If, on the other hand, you find from your consideration of all the evidence that any one of these propositions has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant not guilty of this charge.

When the word "knowingly" is used in these instructions, it means that the defendant realized what he was doing and was aware of the nature of his conduct, and did not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. Knowledge may be proved by the defendant's conduct, and by all the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Possession of an object is the ability to control it. Possession may exist even when a person is not in physical contact with the object, but knowingly has the power and intention to exercise direction and control over it, either directly or through others.

USDC IN/ND case 2:08-cr-00136-PPS-APR document 111 filed 06/30/10 page 17 of 30

INSTRUCTION NUMBER 16

Distribution is the transfer or attempted transfer of possession from one person to another.

USDC IN/ND case 2:08-cr-00136-PPS-APR document 111 filed 06/30/10 page 18 of 30

INSTRUCTION NUMBER 17

You are instructed that marijuana is a controlled substance.

With respect to the charge in Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment of possessing marijuana with the intent to distribute, if you find that the defendant possessed marijuana with the intent to distribute a small amount of marijuana neither for money nor any other thing of value, you should find the defendant not guilty of the charge in Count 1. If you find the defendant not guilty as to Count 1, you should then decide whether the defendant simply possessed marijuana. If you find that he did, then you should find the defendant guilty of the lesser included offense of simple possession of marijuana.

The Second Superseding Indictment charges the defendant with possessing a firearm after having been convicted of a crime punishable by a term of imprisonment of more than one year.

Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 922(g)(1) provides:

It shall be unlawful for any person . . . who has been convicted in any court of, a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year . . . [to] possess in or affecting commerce, any firearm or ammunition[.]

To sustain the charge of unlawful possession of a firearm, as charged in Count 2 of the Second Superseding Indictment, the government must prove the following propositions:

First, that prior to on or about August 6, 2008, the defendant had been convicted of a crime that was punishable by a term of imprisonment of more than one year;

Second, that on or about August 6, 2008, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm; and

Third, that the firearm possessed by the defendant had traveled in interstate commerce prior to the defendant's possession of it on or about that date.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that each of these propositions has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant guilty.

If, on the other hand, you find from your consideration of all the evidence that any one of these propositions has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant not guilty.

A firearm has traveled in interstate commerce if it has traveled between one state and any other state or country, or across a state or national boundary line. The government need not prove how the firearm traveled in interstate commerce, or that the firearm's travel was related to the defendant's possession of it, or that the defendant knew the firearm had traveled in interstate commerce.

The Second Superseding Indictment charges the defendant with knowingly and intentionally maintaining a place at 714 Willow Court, Hammond, Indiana, for the purpose of manufacturing or distributing marijuana.

Title 21 of the United States Code, Section 856 provides, in part, as follows:

... [I]t shall be unlawful to – knowingly . . . maintain any place . . . for the purpose of manufacturing [or] distributing . . . any controlled substance[.]

To sustain the charge of maintaining a place for manufacturing or distributing marijuana on or about August 6, 2008, as charged in Count 4 of the Second Superseding Indictment, the government must prove the following propositions:

First, the defendant knowingly maintained 714 Willow Court, Hammond, Indiana; and Second, the defendant did so for the purpose of manufacturing or distributing marijuana.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that each of these propositions has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant guilty.

If, on the other hand, you find from your consideration of all the evidence that any one of these propositions has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant not guilty.

To assist in your consideration of the charge of maintaining a place for manufacturing or distributing marijuana, you are advised of the following.

A defendant maintains a place for the purpose of manufacturing or distributing marijuana if the defendant maintains the place for the specific purpose of manufacturing or distributing marijuana. The specific purpose need not be the sole purpose for which the place is used, but must be one of the significant or important uses for which the place is used.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER 25

The Second Superseding Indictment charges that the offenses were committed "on or

USDC IN/ND case 2:08-cr-00136-PPS-APR document 111 filed 06/30/10 page 26 of 30

about" certain dates. The government must prove that the alleged offense happened reasonably close to each of those dates but is not required to prove that the alleged offenses happened on those exact dates.

Upon retiring to the jury room, select one of your number as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and will be your representative here in court.

Forms of verdict have been prepared for you on all three counts that you are considering.

As to Count 1, there are two verdict forms. One form is for recording your verdict that the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the crime of knowingly and intentionally possessing marijuana plants with the intent to distribute marijuana as charged in Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment. If you find the defendant not guilty of the crime of knowingly and intentionally possessing marijuana plants with the intent to distribute as charged in Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment (or you cannot unanimously agree that the defendant is guilty of that crime), then you must proceed to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the lesser included offense of simple possession of marijuana. The alternative verdict form for Count 1, in the event you should need it, is for recording your verdict that the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the lesser included offense of simple possession of marijuana.

Take these forms to the jury room, and when you have reached unanimous agreement on the verdict, your foreperson will fill in and date the appropriate form, and each of you will sign it.

Each count of the Second Superseding Indictment charges the defendant with having committed a separate offense.

Each count and the evidence relating to it should be considered separately, and a separate verdict should be returned as to each count. Your verdict of guilty or not guilty of an offense charged in one count should not control your decision as to any other count.

I do not anticipate that you will need to communicate with me. If you do, however, the only proper way is in writing, signed by the foreperson, or if he or she is unwilling to do so, by some other juror, and given to the marshal.

The verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. Your verdict, whether it be guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous.

You should make every reasonable effort to reach a verdict. In doing so, you should consult with one another, express your own views, and listen to the opinions of your fellow jurors. Discuss your differences with an open mind. Do not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if you come to believe it is wrong. But you should not surrender your honest beliefs about the weight or effect of evidence solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurors or for the purpose of returning a unanimous verdict.

The twelve of you should give fair and equal consideration to all the evidence and deliberate with the goal of reaching an agreement which is consistent with the individual judgment of each juror.

You are impartial judges of the facts. Your sole interest is to determine whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.